### NYISO 2025-2029 ICAP Demand Curve Reset

ICAP Working Group Meeting

November 8, 2023





### **Generation Technologies**



Confidential Information

#### Proposed Technology Screening Criteria

- As discussed on 9/26/2023, propose the following initial screening criteria that are consistent with the 2021-2025 Installed Capacity Demand Curve reset (DCR):
  - Standard resource technology available to most market participants
  - Proven technology operating experience at a utility power plant
  - Unit characteristics that can be economically dispatched
  - Ability to cycle and provide peaking service
  - Can be practically constructed in a particular location
  - Capable of meeting environmental requirements and regulations



#### Recommended Technologies to be Evaluated

- H/J-class Simple Cycle Gas Turbine ("SCGT")
  - With and without CLCPA-compliant operations (i.e. zero-emissions production capability)
  - Viability of CLCPA-compliant alternate to serve as a peaking plant remains under review
- Battery Energy Storage System ("BESS")
  - 4-hour Li-Ion BESS
  - 6-hour Li-Ion BESS
  - 8-hour Li-Ion BESS



# **CLCPA** Compliance



Confidential Information

#### **CLCPA Compliance Timelines**

- SCGT designed to burn only fossil fuels and assumed to retired in 2040.
  - Fossil-only option expected to utilize shortened amortization period to account for the CLCPA's 2040 zeroemission requirement.
  - Likely to use the same construct that was utilized for the 2021-2025 DCR
- SCGT designed to burn fossil fuels, but assumed to be retrofitted in 2040 to CLCPA compliant zeroemissions operations
  - Likely to use a 20-year amortization period
  - Considerations:
    - Viability (i.e., commercial operating experience)
    - CLCPA zero-emission compliance guidance
      - CLPCPA compliant fuel basis
      - CLCPA compliant fuel delivery basis
      - Alternative method to consider net energy and ancillary services (EAS) revenues beginning in 2040
- SCGT initially designed to burn CLCPA compliant fuels
  - Clarity of CLCPA compliant options other than renewable and storage remain uncertain
  - Not currently commercially available
  - Fuel supply infrastructure not in place



#### **CLCPA Compliant Fuel Considerations**

- Given the current state of compliance guidance for the CLCPA's 2040 zero-emissions requirement, BMcD preliminarily recommends selecting a "zero emissions" fuel as a representative fuel for evaluation
  - Hydrogen
  - Ammonia
  - Renewable natural gas
  - Biofuels
- Zero emission fuel considerations
  - Fuel production
  - Fuel transportation
  - Fuel storage
- BMcD preliminarily recommends that direct air capture (DAC), carbon capture, utilization and storage (CCUS), nuclear (including small modular reactors [SMRs]), and fuel cells not be considered

Technology options may not have same flexibility of dispatchable operations and/or
 cycling capability

# **BESS Technologies**



Confidential Information

### **BESS Preliminary Recommendations for DCR**

- 200 MW at 4, 6, 8-hour discharge durations
  - Consistent with 2021-2025 DCR
- Zones C, F, G, K:
  - Lithium-ion technology
  - Purpose-built enclosure form factor
  - Single elevation
  - Not specific to a particular chemistry or OEM
- Zone J
  - Lithium-ion technology
  - Purpose-built enclosure form factor
  - Single elevation
  - Not specific to a particular chemistry or OEM
  - Will need to account for FDNY requirements



#### Anticipated BESS Technology Considerations

- BMcD/AG are investigating several anticipated considerations
  - We invite stakeholder feedback in Q4 2023 on these items (and others) to aid in development of assumptions and cost estimates.
- Storage technology type (lithium-ion vs. the field)
- Zone J specific considerations
  - Spatial and location constraints
  - Form factor / site energy density
  - FDNY requirements
- Consideration of capacity accreditation factors
- Capital cost volatility
- Overbuild and augmentation



#### **BESS Trends: Lithium-ion Technology**

- Proven market dominance for utility-scale stationary storage in 1-4 hour discharge duration applications
- Competitive in longer duration applications (<u>i.e.</u>, multiple 8-hour RFP awards to lithium-ion developments)
- Movement toward modular form factors
- General market preference for lithium-iron-phosphate (LFP) chemistry
- Investments in US manufacturing capability



#### **BESS Trends: Non-Lithium Technology**

- Most non-lithium technologies are targeted for long duration
  - "Long duration" commonly means 8+ hour discharge duration at rated power
  - Battery systems (i.e., redox flow, hybrid flow, high temp, other electrochemical)
  - Mechanical storage
  - Thermal storage
- Increasing interest and activity from utilities, developers, investors
- Movement toward modular products/designs
- Investments in US manufacturing capability



#### **Commercial Maturity at Utility Scale**

| BESS Technology             | Typ. Discharge<br>Duration (hours) | RTE AC (%)* | Approx. Installed<br>in US (MWh)** | Capital Cost<br>Source | O&M Cost Source  | Maturity for<br>DCR |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Lithium-ion                 | 1 - 8                              | 85%         | >10,000 MWh                        | Market Info            | Market Info      | YES                 |
| Vanadium Redox Flow         | 4+                                 | < lithium   |                                    | Rely on OEM info       | Rely on OEM info | No                  |
| Hybrid Flow                 | 4 - 12                             | < lithium   |                                    | Rely on OEM info       | Rely on OEM info | No                  |
| Other Electrochemical       | 4 - 12                             | < lithium   | ~100 MWh                           | Rely on OEM info       | Rely on OEM info | No                  |
| High Temp BESS              | 4 - 12                             | < lithium   |                                    | Rely on OEM info       | Rely on OEM info | No                  |
| Mechanical (non PH or CAES) | 6 - 12                             | < lithium   | COMBINED                           | Rely on OEM info       | Rely on OEM info | No                  |
| Multi Day Storage           | 100                                | < lithium   |                                    | Rely on OEM info       | Rely on OEM info | No                  |

\*RTE = Roundtrip Efficiency for BESS

\*\*Intended to indicate the order of magnitude scale of known installations of BESS for utility scale, stationary storage applications through 2022.

- Approximate information intended to represent utility scale maturity and preliminary technology characteristics
- Preliminary opinion is that lithium-ion is the only storage option that meets the screening criteria for "proven technology"
- Pumped hydro and compressed air not considered due to site-specific geographic / geologic requirements.



#### **Zone J Considerations**

- Spatial Considerations
  - 2021-2025 DCR assumption for land: 9,12,15 acres for 4,6,8 hours.
  - ~100 MWh ROM energy density for lithium
  - Will need to consider whether to retain the 2021-2025 acreage assumptions
    - Could consider whether alternative assumptions are warranted based on currently proposed projects in NYC
- NYISO queue information for Zone J:

| Project Location for Zone J<br>Interconnection | Qty |
|------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Queens                                         | 11  |
| Brooklyn                                       | 10  |
| Staten Island                                  | 6   |
| Bronx                                          | 5   |
| Manhattan                                      | 1   |
| New Jersey                                     | 1   |

| Zone J BESS | Value |
|-------------|-------|
| Min MW      | 15    |
| Avg.MW      | 205   |
| Median MW   | 100   |
| Max MW      | 650   |



#### Zone J Considerations (continued)

- Form factor
  - Not assumed for the 2021-2025 DCR
  - Preliminarily recommending assumption for purpose-built-enclosure for this DCR
- Other FDNY Considerations



### Other BESS Considerations for Discussion

BMcD/AG have discussed the following items internally and welcome feedback for further consideration.

- Consideration of Capacity Accreditation Factors (CAFs)
  - NYISO is evaluating CAFs for multiple storage durations.
  - Will consider preliminary information produced to date, as well as the results produced for the 2024/2025 Capability Year
- Market Price Volatility
  - Lithium-ion BESS equipment pricing is trending downward.
  - Equipment costs/assumptions would initially be based on indicative pricing from Q4 2023
    Q1 2024.
- Overbuild and Augmentation
  - Current IRS guidance is unclear about augmentation qualifying for investment tax credit (ITC).

**1898** Current tax credit environment may incentivize higher initial overbuild.



#### Technologies Not Likely to be Evaluated

#### • F-class SCGT

• H/J-class gas turbines evaluate better compared to F-class

| F-class SCGT        | Commercial Operation<br>in U.S. | ISO Capacity<br>(MW) | HHV Heat Rate<br>(Btu/kWh) | Initial Screening Cost<br>(\$/kW) |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| GE 7F.05            | $\checkmark$                    | 239                  | 9,850                      | \$10E0 (I/W)                      |
| Siemens SGT6-5000F  | $\checkmark$                    | 260                  | 9,470                      | \$1050/kW                         |
| G/H/J - Class SCGT  | Commercial Operation<br>in U.S. | ISO Capacity<br>(MW) | HHV Heat Rate<br>(Btu/kWh) | Initial Screening Cost<br>(\$/kW) |
| GE 7HA.03           | $\checkmark$                    | 430                  | 8,750                      |                                   |
| Siemens SGT6-9000HL | $\checkmark$                    | 440                  | 8,770                      | \$750/kW                          |
| MPA M501JAC         | $\checkmark$                    | 453                  | 8,610                      |                                   |
| GE 7HA.02           | $\checkmark$                    | 384                  | 8,890                      | \$800/kW                          |
| GE 7HA.01           | $\checkmark$                    | 290                  | 9,010                      |                                   |
| Siemens SGT6-8000H  | $\checkmark$                    | 310                  | 9,390                      | \$900/kW                          |
| MPA M501GAC         | $\checkmark$                    | 283                  | 9,470                      |                                   |

**1898**<sup>№</sup>

#### Technologies Not Likely to be Evaluated

- Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines ("RICE")
- Aeroderivative SCGT

|                        | Commercial<br>Operation in U.S. | ISO<br>Capacity<br>(MW) | HHV Heat Rate<br>(Btu/kWh) | Initial Screening<br>Cost (\$/kW) |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Siemens SGT-A35        | $\checkmark$                    | 33                      | 9,510                      | \$2000/kW                         |
| GE LM6000PF+           | $\checkmark$                    | 54                      | 9,230                      |                                   |
| MPA FT8 SWIFTPAC 60    | $\checkmark$                    | 61                      | 10,300                     | \$1800/kW                         |
| MPA FT4000 SWIFTPAC 70 | $\checkmark$                    | 72                      | 9,140                      |                                   |
| GE LMS100PB            | $\checkmark$                    | 107                     | 8,850                      | \$1200/kW                         |
| Wartsila 18V50SG       | $\checkmark$                    | 18                      | 8,290                      | \$1,700                           |

